

My F1000 | Browse the Faculties | Top 10s | Advanced Search | My Details | About F1000 | Faculty Member List

**Wust Read** F1000 Factor **6.4** 

Developmental constraints versus flexibility in morphological evolution. Beldade P, Koops K, Brakefield PM

Nature 2002 Apr 25 **416**(6883):844-7 [abstract on PubMed] [related articles] [order article]

Selected by | David Stern / Patricia Simpson First evaluation 29 May 2002 | Latest evaluation 27 Jun 2002 Relevant Sections

## **Faculty Comments**

## Faculty Member

## **David Stern**

Princeton University, United States DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY

New Finding

## Patricia Simpson

University of Cambridge, United Kingdom DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY

New Finding

absence of constraints on the evolution of butterfly eyespot size. This important paper demonstrates that arguments of developmental constraint cannot be made simply by examining patterns of phenotypic variation. Even apparent biases in existing variation may hide considerable genetic variation in opposing directions. This extraordinary paper contributes to the resolution of a long-running debate about the role of constraints in evolution.

Do developmental constraints limit how butterfly eyespots may

evolve? This paper provides a clear experimental demonstration of an

Evaluated 27 Jun 2002

**Comments** 

Certain wing spot patterns in a butterfly, that are not found in nature, were recovered from experimental selection experiments, indicating the absence of a developmental constraint and probable negative selection. Evolutionary constraints have been much discussed but are difficult to demonstrate empirically. This study argues for an important role for natural selection in shaping existing variation.

Evaluated 29 May 2002

cite this evaluation

cite this evaluation